



Disposable vs. Stainless Filtration


Research has provided the following comparative data.

Disposable Filtration

180 Waukesha 7042 & 7044 engines

- 5 filter changes per year
(1500 hours / change)
- 900 complete changes per year
- 7 elements per change
- 6300 filters consumed
- Recycled oil; 0 barrels

Total waste volume;
37 Pallets
(175 filters per pallet)



Stainless Filtration

180 Waukesha 7042 & 7044 engines

- 4 filter changes per year
(1875 hours / change)
- 720 complete changes per year
- 7 elements per change
- 5040 filters cleaned
- Recycled oil; 1 barrels

Total waste volume;
1/4 Pallet*

- * Contaminants removed during the Ultrasonic cleaning process;
Oil; 1 Barrel (recyclable)
Particulate; 1/2 Barrel
Bags (water recleaning); 1 Barrel



FAILURE PREVENTION SERVICES

401 Railway Ave NE, Watson, Sask. Canada S0K 4V0

P: 877.500.3210 F: 306.287.3394


www.fps-ss.com



*Putting a
Green Footprint
on Filtration!*



Disposable vs. Stainless Filtration



Sock Filters vs. Stainless Steel Elements

Sock filters become dirty and have to be disposed of in a landfill. This can cause accidental spillage and contamination to the disposal site. These socks are NOT environmentally friendly. There is no payback with disposable filtration products.

Stainless steel elements are environmentally friendly. When these elements become dirty, they can be re-cleaned and used many more times. There is no contamination or disposal fee. Stainless elements do have a payback and can be easily cleaned.

